# **Equality Analysis**



Please refer to the guidance for carrying out Equality Impact Assessments is available on the intranet [LINK TO BE ADDED] Text in blue is intended to provide guidance – you can delete this from your final version.

What are the proposals being assessed?	Review of management costs within CSF to deliver savings over 2016/18 – CSF 2015-07
Which Department/ Division has the responsibility for this?	CSF Cross cutting

Stage 1: Overview	
Name and job title of lead officer	Director of CSF
1. What are the aims, objectives and desired outcomes of your proposal? (Also explain proposals e.g. reduction/removal of service, deletion of posts, changing criteria etc)	In the light of the level of savings needed across CSF and the impact on the size and scope of the department to review service structures and to design new structures to enable the department to reduce management costs and remain fit for purpose. At this stage we expect this will require a reduction of 13 posts from a total of 268FTE. There will be a focus on core functions in education and social care and we will redesign our workforce across a smaller overall department.
2. How does this contribute to the council's corporate priorities?	CSF delivers the council's statutory education, children's social care, early years and youth justice and broader statutory functions relating to children schools and families. The department is down-sizing but must remain fit for purpose with appropriate spans of management to operate a safe and effective set of services within the reduced resources available. A smaller workforce will reduce our ability to work on cross cutting issues and new developments.
3. Who will be affected by this proposal? For example who are the external/internal customers, communities, partners, stakeholders, the workforce etc.	The leadership and management team of the department with be most affected and there will need to be consultation with staff and partners as we deliver integrated children's services through our Children's trust and MSCB partnerships
4. Is the responsibility shared with another department, authority or organisation? If so, who are the partners and who has overall responsibility?	This proposal cuts across CSF but will need to be considered by CMT and partners as it may impact on the department's ability to contribute to shared work and objectives internally and externally. We will use the Council's agreed HR policies and procedures for restructuring. An EA will be developed for the service change staffing proposals as and when we are able to be clearer about the exact number of staff reductions required, the affected divisions and services. We will require new systems to be embedded, primarily (MOSAIC) and to have embedded flexible working across the department. Work is already underway in terms of developing the CSF workforce to be more highly skilled and flexible, therefore, meeting the

increased demands with a reduced number of staff.

### Stage 2: Collecting evidence/ data

#### 5. What evidence have you considered as part of this assessment?

Provide details of the information you have reviewed to determine the impact your proposal would have on the protected characteristics (equality groups).

CSF's workforce is diverse and the profile shows that BME staff and women are well represented at most layers in the organisation. We are below our target for employees with disabilities but have some evidence of colleagues with disabilities not identifying themselves formally out of choice. The development of proposals to reshape the department's management structures will be undertaken through the council's agreed processes and there will be particular consideration of the impact of any changes on protected groups. Detailed impact assessments will be undertaken as the project is initiated and throughout the process. HR will provide both advice and challenge to ensure impact is not disproportionately felt on protected groups. The council has statutory duties as an employer which it will also need to fulfil and will need to reconcile any competing requirements across these different legislative areas. During the review of our processes we will ensure they are LEAN.

#### Stage 3: Assessing impact and analysis

6. From the evidence you have considered, what areas of concern have you identified regarding the potential negative and positive impact on one or more protected characteristics (equality groups)?

Protected characteristic	Tick whi	ich applies	Tick which applies		Reason												
(equality group)	Positive impact		Positive impact		Positive impact Potential Briefly explain what positive or negative impact ha		Potential		Potential		Potential		Potential		Positive impact Potential		Briefly explain what positive or negative impact has been identified
			negative impact														
	Yes	No	Yes	No													
Age					At this stage of process it is not possible to evidence impact for the different characteristics, however, detailed assessments will be undertaken throughout the development and implementation stages to ensure impact does not fall disproportionately on particular protected groups												
Disability					See above												

Gender Reassignment	See above
Marriage and Civil	See above
Partnership	
Pregnancy and Maternity	See above
Race	See above
Religion/ belief	See above
Sex (Gender)	See above
Sexual orientation	See above
Socio-economic status	See above

N/A	
Sta	nge 4: Conclusion of the Equality Analysis
8.	Which of the following statements best describe the outcome of the EA (Tick one box only)  Please refer to the guidance for carrying out Equality Impact Assessments is available on the intranet for further information about these outcomes and what they mean for your proposal
	Outcome 1 – The EA has not identified any potential for discrimination or negative impact and all opportunities to promote equality are being addressed. No changes are required.
Х	Outcome 2 – The EA has identified adjustments to remove negative impact or to better promote equality. Actions you propose to take to do this should be included in the Action Plan.
	Outcome 3 – The EA has identified some potential for negative impact or some missed opportunities to promote equality and it may not be possible to mitigate this fully. If you propose to continue with proposals you must include the justification for this in Section 10 below, and include actions you propose to take to remove negative impact or to better promote equality in the Action Plan. You must ensure that your proposed action is in line with the PSED to have 'due regard' and you are advised to seek Legal Advice.
	Outcome 4 – The EA shows actual or potential unlawful discrimination. Stop and rethink your proposals.

7. If you have identified a negative impact, how do you plan to mitigate it?

#### **Stage 5: Improvement Action Pan**

# 9. Equality Analysis Improvement Action Plan template – Making adjustments for negative impact

This action plan should be completed after the analysis and should outline action(s) to be taken to mitigate the potential negative impact identified (expanding on information provided in Section 7 above).

Negative impact/ gap in information identified in the Equality Analysis	Action required to mitigate	How will you know this is achieved? e.g. performance measure/ target)	By when	Existing or additional resources ?	Lead Officer	Action added to divisional/ team plan?
To review proposals and implementation at key points to ensure EA is not disproportionate.	Undertake EA's at key stages of the process: design; implementation	EA's undertaken	To be determin ed as part of program me	Existing	CSF Busines s partner	

Note that the full impact of the decision may only be known after the proposals have been implemented; therefore it is important the effective monitoring is in place to assess the impact.

# Stage 6: Reporting outcomes

## 10. Summary of the equality analysis

This section can also be used in your decision making reports (CMT/Cabinet/etc) but you must also attach the assessment to the report, or provide a hyperlink

Programme management to include an overview and actions to mitigate any potential negative equalities implications, to be developed with HR Business Partner and DMT (CSF).

Stage 7: Sign off by Director/ Head of Service					
Assessment completed by	Carol Cammiss	Signature:	Date:		
Improvement action plan signed off by Director/ Head of Service	Yvette Stanley	Signature:	Date:		